

CKD in disadvantaged populations

Kidney International (2015) **87**, 251–253. doi:10.1038/ki.2014.369

Of all of the forms of inequality, injustice in health care is the most shocking and inhumane.

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

March 12, 2015, will mark the tenth anniversary of World Kidney Day (WKD), an initiative of the International Society of Nephrology and the International Federation of Kidney Foundations. Since its inception in 2006, WKD has become the most successful effort ever mounted to raise awareness among decision makers and the general public about the importance of kidney disease. Each year WKD reminds us that kidney disease is common, harmful, and treatable. The focus of WKD 2015 is on chronic kidney disease in disadvantaged populations. This article reviews the key links between poverty and chronic kidney disease and the consequent implications for the prevention of kidney disease and the care of kidney patients in these populations.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is increasingly recognized as a global public health problem and a key determinant of poor health outcomes. There is compelling evidence that disadvantaged people, that is, those from low-resource ethnic minority communities and/or indigenous and socially disadvantaged backgrounds, suffer from marked increases in the burden of unrecognized and untreated CKD. Although the entire populations of some low- and middle-income countries could be considered disadvantaged, further discrimination on the basis of local factors creates a position of extreme disadvantage for certain population groups (peasants, those living in some rural areas, women, the elderly, religious minorities, and so on). The fact that even in developed countries ethnic minorities bear a disproportionate burden of CKD and have worse outcomes suggests there is much to learn beyond the traditional risk factors contributing to CKD-associated complications.

About 1.2 billion people live in extreme poverty worldwide. Poverty negatively influences healthy behaviors, health-care access and environmental exposure, all of which contribute to health-care disparities (Table 1). The poor are more susceptible to disease because of lack of access to goods and services, in particular clean water and sani-

tation, information about preventive behaviors, adequate nutrition, and health care.¹

CKD in developed countries

In the United States, ethnic minorities have a higher incidence of end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Despite similar prevalence rates for early stages of CKD, poor outcomes such as ESRD are 1.5 to 4 times higher among minorities (African Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans). Poverty further increases the disparity in ESRD rates, with African Americans being at greater risk. In the United Kingdom, rates of treated ESRD are higher in ethnic minority groups and with increasing social deprivation. Similarly, in Singapore, the CKD prevalence is higher among Malays and Indians compared with Chinese, with socioeconomic and behavioral factors accounting for 70–80% of the excess risk.

ESRD incidence is also higher among the less advantaged indigenous populations in developed countries. Canadian First Nations people experience ESRD at rates 2.5 to 4 times higher than the general population.² In Australia, the increase in the number of indigenous people starting renal replacement therapy (RRT) over the past 25 years exceeded the increase in the number of the non-indigenous population 3.5-fold, largely because of a disproportionate (>10-fold) difference in ESRD due to type 2 diabetic nephropathy, a disease largely attributable to lifestyle issues such as poor nutrition and lack of exercise.³ Indigenous populations also have a higher incidence of ESRD due to glomerulonephritis and hypertension. Compared with the US general population, the ESRD incidence rate is higher in Guam and Hawaii, where the proportion of indigenous people is high, again driven primarily by diabetic ESRD. Native Americans have a greater prevalence of albuminuria and a higher ESRD incidence rate. Nearly three-quarters of all incident ESRD cases among this population have been attributable to type 2 diabetes.

CKD in developing countries

Poverty-related factors such as infectious diseases secondary to poor sanitation, inadequate supply of safe water, environmental pollutants, and high concentrations of disease-transmitting

Guillermo Garcia-Garcia¹ and Vivekanand Jha^{2–4}, on behalf of the World Kidney Day Steering Committee⁵
¹Nephrology Service, Hospital Civil de Guadalajara, University of Guadalajara Health Sciences Center, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico;
²Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India;
³George Institute for Global Health, New Delhi, India and
⁴University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ⁵Members of the World Kidney Day Steering Committee: Philip Kam Tao Li, Guillermo Garcia-Garcia, William G. Couser, Timur Erk, Elena Zakharova, Luca Segantini, Paul Shay, Miguel C. Riella, Charlotte Osafo, Sophie Dupuis, Charles Kernahan
Correspondence: World Kidney Day, International Society of Nephrology, Rues de Fabriques 1B, 1000 Brussels, Belgium.
 E-mail: info@worldkidneyday.org

vectors continue to play an important role in the development of CKD in low-income countries. Although rates of diabetic nephropathy are rising, chronic glomerulonephritis and interstitial nephritis are among the principal causes of CKD in many countries. Of note is the emergence of HIV-associated nephropathy as the major cause of CKD in sub-Saharan Africa. A high prevalence of CKD of unknown etiology has been reported in rural agricultural communities of Central America, Egypt, India, and Sri Lanka. Male farm workers are affected disproportionately, and the clinical presentation is suggestive of interstitial nephritis, confirmed on renal biopsies. The strong association with farm work has led to suggestions that exposure to agrochemicals, dehydration, and consumption of contaminated water might be responsible. Additionally, the use of traditional herbal medications is common and frequently associated with CKD among the poor. In Mexico, CKD prevalence is two- to threefold higher among the poor than in the general population, and the etiology is unknown in 30% of ESRD patients.²

Low birth weight and risk of CKD in disadvantaged populations

An association between low birth weight due primarily to nutritional factors and kidney disease has been described in disadvantaged populations. The frequency of low birth weight in the Aboriginal population is more than double that in the non-Aboriginal population of Australia. The high prevalence of albuminuria in this population has been linked to low nephron number related to low birth weight. Morphometric studies of kidney biopsies in the Aborigines show glomerulomegaly, perhaps secondary to nephron deficiency, which might predispose to glomerulosclerosis.⁴ A correlation between low birth weight and CKD has also been described in poor African Americans and Caucasians living in the southeastern United States. Similarly, in an Indian cohort, low birth weight and early malnutrition were associated with later development of metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and diabetic nephropathy. The finding of a high prevalence of proteinuria, elevated blood pressure, and CKD of unknown etiology in South Asian children may also be explained by this mechanism.

Disparities in access to renal replacement therapy

A recent analysis shows that, globally, there were 2.6 million people on dialysis in 2010, 93% in high- or upper-middle-income countries. By contrast, the number of people requiring RRT was

estimated at 4.9 million to 9 million, suggesting that at least 2.3 million died prematurely because of lack of access to RRT. Even though diabetes and hypertension increase the burden of CKD, the current provision of RRT is linked largely to two factors, per capita gross national product and age, suggesting that poverty is a major disadvantage for receiving RRT. By 2030, the number of people receiving RRT around the world is projected to increase to 5.4 million. Most of this increase will be in developing countries of Asia and Africa.

Access to RRT in the emerging world is dependent mostly on the health-care expenditures and economic strength of individual countries, with the relationship between income and access to RRT being almost linear in low- and middle-income countries. In Latin America, RRT prevalence and kidney transplantation rates correlate significantly with gross national income and health expenditure, while in India and Pakistan, less than 10% of all ESRD patients have access to RRT.² Additionally, developing countries have low transplantation rates because of a combination of low levels of infrastructure; geographic remoteness; lack of legislation governing brain death; religious, cultural, and social constraints; and commercial incentives that favor dialysis.⁵

There are also differences in utilization of renal replacement modalities between indigenous and non-indigenous groups in the developed countries. In Australia and New Zealand, the proportion of people receiving home dialysis is considerably lower among indigenous people. At the end of 2007 in Australia, 33% of non-indigenous people requiring RRT were receiving home-based dialysis therapies, in contrast to 18% of Aboriginal people. In New Zealand, home-based dialysis was utilized by 62% of the non-indigenous RRT population but only by 42% of Maori and Pacific Islanders on RRT. The rate of kidney transplantation is also lower among disadvantaged communities. Maori and Pacific people are only 25% as likely to get a transplant as European New Zealanders, and the proportion of indigenous people who underwent transplantation and had a functioning kidney transplant is lower among Aboriginal Australians (12%) compared with non-indigenous Australians (45%). In the United Kingdom, white individuals from socially deprived areas, South Asians, and blacks were all less likely to receive a preemptive renal transplant or living-donor transplant than their more affluent white counterparts. A multinational study found that, compared with white patients, the likelihood of receiving a transplant was 77% lower among Aboriginal patients in Australia and

Table 1 | Possible mechanisms by which poverty increases the burden of disease

Health behavior	Access to health care	Biological factors	Environmental factors
Lack of information on preventive behaviors	Lack of access to health care	Low birth weight	Increased exposure to pollutants
Lack of knowledge on how best to respond to an episode of illness	Greater distance from health-care providers	Genetic predisposition	Increased exposure to communicable diseases
Health beliefs and unhealthy behaviors	Lack of out-of-pocket resources	Cumulative biological risk profiles Inadequate nutrition	Lack of clean water and sanitation

New Zealand, and 66% lower among Canadian First Nations individuals.

Disparities in renal care are more evident in developing nations. Data from India show that there are fewer nephrologists and nephrology services in the poorer states. As a result, people living in these states are likely to receive less care. In Mexico, the fragmentation of the health-care system has resulted in unequal access to RRT.²

The bidirectional relationship between poverty and CKD

In addition to having a higher disease burden, the poor have limited access to resources for meeting the treatment costs. A large proportion of patients who are forced to meet the expensive ESRD treatment costs by incurring out-of-pocket expenditure get pushed into extreme poverty. In one Indian study, more than 70% of patients undergoing kidney transplantation experienced catastrophic health-care expenditures. Entire families felt the impact of this, including job losses and interruptions in education of children.²

Outcomes

Overall mortality rates among those who do receive RRT are higher in the indigenous, minority, and uninsured populations, even after adjustment for comorbidities. The hazard ratios for death on dialysis relative to the non-indigenous group are 1.4 for Aboriginal Australians and New Zealand Maori. The Canadian First Nations patients achieve target levels for blood pressure and mineral metabolism less frequently. In the United States, living in predominantly black neighborhoods was associated with higher-than-expected mortality rates on dialysis and increased time to transplantation. Similarly, black patients on peritoneal dialysis had a higher risk of death or technique failure compared with whites. In Mexico, mortality on peritoneal dialysis is threefold higher among the uninsured population compared with Mexican patients receiving treatment in the United States, and the

survival rate is significantly lower than that of the insured Mexican population, while in India, almost two-thirds of the patients are unable to continue dialysis beyond the first 3 months for financial reasons.

Summary

The increased burden of CKD in disadvantaged populations is due to both global factors and population-specific issues. Low socioeconomic status and poor access to care contribute to health-care disparities and exacerbate the negative effects of genetic or biologic predisposition. Provision of appropriate renal care to these populations requires a two-pronged approach: expansion of the reach of dialysis through development of low-cost alternatives that can be practiced in remote locations, and implementation and evaluation of cost-effective prevention strategies. Kidney transplantation should be promoted by expansion of deceased-donor transplant programs and use of inexpensive, generic immunosuppressive drugs. The message of WKD 2015 is that a concerted attack against the diseases that lead to ESRD, by increased community outreach, better education, improved economic opportunity, and access to preventive medicine for those at highest risk, could end the unacceptable relationship between CKD and disadvantage in these communities.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declared no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Sachs JD. Macroeconomics and health: investing in health for economic development. Report of the Commission on Macroeconomics and Health. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2001.
2. Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K *et al*. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. *Lancet* 2013; **382**: 260–272.
3. Collins JF. Kidney disease in Maori and Pacific people in New Zealand. *Clin Nephrol* 2010; **74**(Suppl 1): S61–S65.
4. Hoy WE, Hug hson MD, Zimanyi M *et al*. Distribution of volume of individual glomeruli in kidneys at autopsy: association with age, nephron number, birthweight and body mass index. *Clin Nephrol* 2010; **74**(Suppl 1): S105–S112.
5. Garcia Garcia G, Harden PN, Chapman JR. World Kidney Day 2012. The global role of kidney transplantation. *Lancet* 2012; **379**: e36–e38.